

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/803,713	MARQUESS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Stephen Kapushoc	1634	

**All Participants:**

(1) Stephen Kapushoc.

(2) Paul Herbert.

**Status of Application:** Pending after Restriction

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 9 February 2007

**Time:** 2pm

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was discussed that the Office Action of 09/12/2006 was sent to the wrong address, there being a Power of Attorney and address change on record (12/20/2005). It was discussed that the Office Action will be sent to the proper address with the time period for reply restarted. .